UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,385	07/01/2003	Stephen W. Downing	UOMB-001DIV	9385
2.223	7590 08/24/2007 FIELD & FRANCIS LLP	01/2003 Stephen W. Downing 08/24/2007 FRANCIS LLP ENUE	EXAMINER	
1900 UNIVERS	SITY AVENUE		NGUYE	N, VI X
SUITE 200 EAST PALO A	ALTO, CA 94303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3734	
			(	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,385	DOWNING, STEPHEN W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor X. Nguyen	3734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply	:		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 3</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und</li> </ul>	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 38-40 and 56-75 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 38-40,56-70 and 73-75 is/are rejeection of the company of	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International But</li> </ol>	· ·	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,385

Art Unit: 3734

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. In response to applicant's amendment of 5/24/2007, the examiner has removed all prior 35 USC § 112 rejections.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 75 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 75, the disclosure does not describe an image guidance mechanism. Clarification is requested.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Claims 38-40,56-70 and 73-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by St. Goar et al (6,629,534). Goar et al disclose in figures 81,82 and abstract, a system for performing annuloplasty, including: a plurality of staples (540) is suitable for application to a mitral valve annulus (see col. 40, lines 5-19), a suture 542 is able to staple to a mitral valve annulus along the suture to plicate mitral valve annulus which is able to reduce the length of the valve annulus, where the staples are adapted to deliver to the mitral valve annulus while the heart is beating (see col.6, lines 17-20 and lines 47-52), and where the system further comprises an instrument 562 for delivering the staples to the valve annulus and placating the valve annulus, where the system also comprises a port see fig. 78 adapted to span an atrium and a staple 540 which passes through the port, where the system further has at least one valve at section C in fig. 18 which also has an inlet locates to inferior of element 621, and where the housing has first and second retainer members which are described as annular flanges at best seen in fig. 85, and where the instrument comprises an image guidance mechanism (see col. 5, lines 3-32).

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 71-72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3734

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/24/2007 have been considered but are most in view of new ground(s) of rejections. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejections above where examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejection.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,385 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Name VICIOS

Art Unit 3734

VN 8/17/2007

MICHAEL J. HAYES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER